

0040-4039(95)02233-3

New Procedure for the Preparation of 2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl 2-Acetamido-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranoside and Other Alkyl β-Glycosides

C. Gregory Sowell, Mark T. Livesay and David A. Johnson*

Ribi ImmunoChem Research, Inc., Pharmaceutical Discovery Division, 553 Old Corvallis Rd., Hamilton, MT 59840 USA

Abstract: The title compound and other alkyl β -glycosides 1a-g can be prepared in one step from commercially available 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranose (3) and simple alcohols using camphorsulfonic acid as a promoter together with azeotropic removal of acetic acid.

Since its introduction in 1981 by Lipshutz¹ the 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl (TMSEt) group has played an increasingly important role in the protection and reaction chemistry of the anomeric center of carbohydrates.² The title β -glycoside, 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (1a), is a useful starting material in the preparation of lipid A analogs,³ sialoglycoconjugates,⁴ and other amino sugars.⁵ The TMSEt glycoside 1a has been prepared by the Koenigs-Knorr reaction^{2a} and by acid catalyzed ring-opening⁵ of 2-methyl-(1,2-dideoxy-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl- α -D-glucopyrano)-[2,1-d]-2-oxazoline (2). Both of these procedures, however, involve multiple steps and the isolation of unstable intermediates. Although several excellent methods have been developed for the direct preparation of N-acylated 2-amino-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosides by Lewis acid-catalyzed glycosylation of the corresponding α - and/or β -acetates,⁶ these methods are not amenable to the synthesis of 1a and other Lewis acid-sensitive glycosides.^{2a,7} Here we report a mild, one-step procedure for the preparation of 1a and other alkyl β -glycosides from commercially available 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranose (3).

Treatment of 3 with simple alcohols in the presence of a catalytic amount of camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) in benzene with azeotropic removal of acetic acid afforded the corresponding alkyl \(\beta\)-glycosides 1a-g in good yield (Table 1). This method for the preparation of TMSEt glycoside 1a, which was obtained in 87% isolated yield, compares very favorably with the two-step Koenigs-Knorr procedure (38-44% overall yield) and is operationally simpler and—in our experience—higher yielding than the oxazoline method. We have routinely carried out the preparation of 1a and other glycosides shown in Table 1 on a multi-ten gram scale using this methodology.

A typical procedure is as follows. Preparation of 1a: To a stirred solution of 3 (5.02 g, 12.8 mmol) and CSA (0.05 g, 0.2 mmol) in benzene (50 ml) under reflux was added a solution of 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanol (3.2 g, 25.7 mmol) in benzene (20 ml) dropwise over 2 h. A constant reaction volume was maintained during the addition by using a Dean-Stark apparatus possessing a stopcock for continuous take-off. The addition of benzene (40 ml) and distillation of the azeotrope was continued for 4 h. The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, passed through a short column of silica gel, and concentrated. The crude product obtained was crystallized from EtOAc-hexanes to yield 5.0 g (87%) of 1a as a colorless powder.

Table 1. Preparation of Alkyl 2-Acetamido-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranosides (1)

Compound	R	Isolated Yield, %a	m.p. (°C)
1a	TMSEt	87	179-180
1 b	Allyl	84	162-164
1c	Benzyl	92	160-162
1d	n-Butyl	88	142-145
1e	4-Pentenyl	86	127-129
1f	2-Methoxyethyl	84	145.5-146
lg	2,2,2-Trichloroethyl	52	184.5-185

^aAll products were characterized by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR. New compounds gave satisfactory elemental analyses.

Unlike the Helferich⁹ synthesis of aryl glycosides from peracetylated sugars, the present method is highly stereoselective and does not lead to acid-catalyzed anomerization¹⁰ of the initally formed β -glycoside.¹¹ The detection of oxazoline 2 (prepared from 3 with SnCl₄)¹² in the reaction by thin layer chromatography suggests that the protonated form of 2 is the reactive intermediate.

Further studies aimed at optimizing the reaction conditions by employing alternate solvents and an apparatus suitable for the simultaneous recycling of the distillate and removal of acetic acid are underway.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Lipshutz, B.H.; Pegram, J.J.; Morey, M.C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4603-4606.
- (a) Ellervik, U.; Magnusson, G. Acta Chem. Scand. 1993, 47, 826-828 and references cited therein.
 (b) Jansson, K.; Magnusson, G. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 59-64.
 (c) Kartha, K.P.R.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1989, 8, 675-679.
- (a) Ogawa, Y.; Fujishima, Y.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A. Carbohydr. Res. 1990, 197, 281-288.
 (b) Ogawa, Y.; Fujishima, Y.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 9, 643-652.
- 4. (a) Hasegawa, A.; Ogawa, M.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 9, 393-414. (b) Yoshida, M.; Uchimura, A.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A. Glycoconjugate J. 1993, 10, 3-15.
- Ogawa, Y.; Kitagawa, M.; Fujishima, Y.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A.; Ishida, H.; Azuma, I. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1989, 53, 1025-1036.
- For a recent review on the glycosylation of 2-amino-2-deoxy carbohydrates including 1-O-acetylated derivatives, see: Banoub, J.; Boullanger, P.; Lafont, D. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1167-1195.
- 7. Koto, S.; Morishima, N.; Takenaka, K.; Kanemitsu, K.; Shimoura, N.; Kase, M.; Kojiro, S.; Nakamura, T.; Kawase, T.; Zen, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1989, 62, 3549-3566.
- 8. In general, yields of 1 are lowered by 10-15% if the 80°C 2% AcOH/benzene azeotrope is not exploited for the removal of acetic acid. This is due presumably to the anomerization of 3 to the less reactive α -acetate. However, in the case of weakly nucleophilic trichloroethanol, virtually none of the β -glycoside 1g is formed in the absence of the azeotrope.
- 9. Helferich, B.; Schmitz-Hillebrecht, E. Chem. Ber. 1933, 66B, 378-383.
- 10. Hurd, C.D.; Bonner, W.A. J. Org. Chem. 1946, 11, 50-54.
- 11. The presence of the α -anomer was not detected in the 300 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra of the products.
- 12. Srivastava, V.K. Carbohydr. Res. 1982, 103, 286-292.